## AGEC 641 Midterm

Answer 4 of the first 5 questions take number 6 home and 1 of the other 5 of your choice.

1. Given that you know a Basis for the primal

$$
\text { MAX cX }+0 \mathrm{~S}
$$

s.t. $\mathrm{AX}+\mathrm{IS}=\mathrm{b}$

$$
X, S, \geq 0
$$

a) Derive the criteria you would use to determine if it would be attractive to bring in any of the non basic variables into the solution
b) Derive an expression that tells how the objective function will change with a small change in the right hand side
2. If you wish to restrict the sum of two shadow prices, say $u_{1}+u_{2}$, to be no more than $\$ 10$ and no less than $\$ 5$ what types of variables would you add to the primal?
3. Explain the following briefly:
a) the relation between primal degeneracy and dual variables
b) the way one could develop product supply curves from an LP and why one might do that as opposed to generating an econometrically based curve
c) the homogeneity of units criterion one uses to assure rows and columns are properly modeled
d) why one would develop a model in summation notation rather than only in a numerically specific tableau
e) the difference in assumptions underlying equilibrium, and disequilibrium dynamic models
4) Set up an LP of the following

| Max $4 \mathrm{X}^{1 / 2}$ | $-(3+2 \mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{Y}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s.t. | 0.3 X | -10 Y | $\leq 0$ |
|  | X | +Y | $\leq 30$ |
|  | X, | Y | $\geq 0$ |

and tell whether this model relaxes any of the LP assumptions
5. Suppose you have a problem where you
a) Can buy a single homogeneous good at any of N supply locations during any of M time periods during a year
b) Must have a given quantity of goods available at each of D demand locations during each time period T
c) Face a maximum supply at a prenegotiated price at each supply location but can obtain an unlimited amount at twice that price
d) Have limited storage facilities at each demand point to carry over goods from month to month at a price with a spoilage rate

Now
a) Set up a small tableau for a case with 2 supply points, 3 time periods during a typical year and 2 demand points
b) Tell how you are handling between year linkages
c) Set up a general summation notation model
e) Interpret the dual implications of the storage possibilities
6. The Peck family is studying whether to make an offer on some property. Currently, the Peck's own two farms and a feedlot in Illinois. The option they are considering is the purchase of some land in Montana. The land in Montana would be used to raise cattle which would be in part fed to slaughter age in Montana and in part shipped to Illinois for feeding. Technical data follows:

| Farm Data |  | Farm 1 | Farm 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plowing | Cost/hour | 15 | 12 |
|  | Acres/hour | 3 | 2.5 |
| Planting Corn | Labor /hour plowing | 1 | 1 |
|  | Cost/hour | 13 | 12 |
|  | Acres/hour | 5 | 6 |
|  | Labor/hr of planting | 1 | 1 |
|  | Cost/hour | 15 | 12 |
|  | Acre/hour | 6 | 8 |
|  | Labor/hr of planting | 1 | 1 |


| Harvesting Corn |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost/hour | 45 | 45 |
|  | Acre/hour | 3 | 3 |
|  | Labor/hour | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Harvesting Soybeans | Cost/hour | 45 | 45 |
|  | Acres/hour | 5 | 5.5 |
|  | Labor/hour | 1.5 | 1.5 |

Corn yields in bushels on Farm 1 are:

|  | Planting Date |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest Date | May | June |  |
|  | October | 120 | 110 |
|  | November | 140 | 130 |

Corn yields on Farm 2 are 90\% of those on Farm 1.
Soybean yields in bushels (bu) on Farm 1 are:

|  | Planting Date |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest Date |  | May | June |
|  | September | 40 | 38 |
|  | October | 45 | 42 |

Soybean yields on Farm 2 are $110 \%$ of those on Farm 1. Plowing is done in December, March, April or May. Farm labor is shared between the farms and there are 60 hours in each month. Farm 1 has 300 acres, Farm 2 has 200 acres.

| Feed Lot Data | Characteristics of Alternative Feeding <br> Systems per Calf Fed |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Final Animal Weight/lbs. | 900 | 870 | 900 | 920 |
| Bushels of Corn | 40 | 45 | 30 | 42 |
| Bushels of Soybeans | 10 | 5 | 20 | 6 |
| Other Costs | 20 | 25 | 15 | 30 |

## Market Data:

| Purchase cost of calves | $\$ 125 / \mathrm{head}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Market price of corn (same to both farms) | $\$ 3.00 / \mathrm{bu}$ |
| Market price of soybeans (same to both farms) | $\$ 6.75 / \mathrm{bu}$ |
| Cost of purchasing feed | $120 \%$ of market price |
| Price of fed beef applicable to final animal weight | $\$ 50 / 100 \mathrm{lbs}$ |

Transport Costs:
Farm 1 to Feedlot

| Corn | $\$ 0.05 / \mathrm{bu}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Soybeans | $\$ 0.12 / \mathrm{bu}$ |

Farm 2 to Feedlot

| Corn | $\$ 0.10 / \mathrm{bu}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Soybeans | $\$ 0.05 / \mathrm{bu}$ |

Assume purchased feed and crops sold to market have a zero transport cost.

## Montana Proposal

| Land required/cow unit(cow and calf) | 5 acres |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of calves raised in year per cow unit | 0.7 calves/cow |
| Amortized Cost to buy and maintain a cow unit | $\$ 55 / \mathrm{year}$ |
| Land utilized per calf raised to sale | 5 acres |
| Cost/calf to ship to Illinois | $\$ 10 / \mathrm{calf}$ |
| Weight of calves if raised to slaughter in Montana | 800 lbs. |
| Cost/calf raised to maturity in Montana | $\$ 250$ |
| Annual cost of acquiring land in Montana | $\$ 5 / \mathrm{acre}$ |
| Sale price of calves raised to slaughter in Montana | $\$ .50 / \mathrm{lb}$. |
| Maximum slaughter market potential in Montana | 500 head calves |

a. Formulate a model for optimum firm size including both farms, the feedlot, and the Montana option.
b. Discuss how you would use this model to determine whether to invest in Montana land.
c. What types of formulations are implicit in this solution relative to those in the chapters we studied (resource allocation, etc.)?

