## AGEC 641 Final

Answer 5 of the first 6 questions take number 7 home and 1 of the other 6 of your choice.

1. Suppose you have an optimal solution to the problem

and the second constraint is replaced by the sum of the first and second constraints. What will happen to the optimal primal solution, shadow prices and objective function?

Hint: Premultiplying a 2 row system of equations by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

results in the second row being an addition of the first two before multiplication.
2. Given the problem

if it is stipulated that $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ are nonzero and positive then what are the optimal values of the shadow prices?
3. If you wish to restrict the difference of two shadow prices, say $u_{1}-u_{2}$, to be no more than $\$ 10$ and no less than $\$ 5$ what types of variables would you add to the primal?
4. Explain the following briefly:
a) the relation between primal degeneracy and dual variables
b) the dual constraint arising from

Max -cx

$$
\mathrm{Ax} \leq \mathrm{b}
$$

$$
x \geq 0
$$

c) signing conventions
d) the considerations involved when modeling using summation notation rather than a numerically specific tableau
e) equilibrium, and disequilibrium dynamic models
f) accounting equations
5) Set up an LP of the following

| Max | $4 \mathrm{X}^{1 / 2}$ | $-(3+2 \mathrm{Y}) \mathrm{Y}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s.t. | 0.3 X | -10 Y | $\leq 0$ |
|  | X | +Y | $\leq 30$ |
|  | X, | Y | $\geq 0$ |

and tell whether this model relaxes any of the LP assumptions
6. Suppose you have a problem where you
a) Invest in a set of alternative investments of which there are $N$ where $X_{j}$ gives the amount invested in the jth type and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ the hours of operation that may be employed if the item is bought
b) Obtain returns on these investments from production alternatives where alternativ e $k\left(y_{k}\right)$ uses a respecified number of hours of the capacity acquired when the $j t h$ investment is purchased
c) Have other resource constraints which limit production
d) The annual interest cost of the investments is a fixed percentage of the amoun $t$ invested

Formulate a general model of this process maximizing the average rate of return first in fractional then in linear terms.
7. The Peck family is studying whether to make an offer on some property. Currently, th e Peck's own two farms and a feedlot in Illinois. The option they are considering is the purchase of some land in Montana. The land in Montana would be used to raise cattle which would be in part fed to slaughter age in Montana and in part shipped to Illinois for feeding. Technical data follows:

| Farm Data |  | Farm 1 | Farm 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plowing | Cost/hour | 15 | 12 |
|  | Acres/hour | 3 | 2.5 |
|  | Labor /hour plowing | 1 | 1 |
| Planting Corn | Cost/hour | 13 | 12 |
|  | Acres/hour | 5 | 6 |
| Planting Soybeans | Labor/hr of planting | 1 | 1 |
|  | Cost/hour | 15 | 12 |
|  | Acre/hour | 6 | 8 |
| Harvesting Corn | Labor/hr of planting | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost/hour | 45 | 45 |
|  | Acre/hour | 3 | 3 |
| Harvesting Soybeans | Cabor/hour | 1.5 | 1.5 |
|  | Acres/hour | 45 | 45 |
|  | Labor/hour | 5 | 5.5 |
|  |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |

Corn yields in bushels on Farm 1 are:

|  | Planting Date |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest Date |  | May | June |
|  | October | 120 | 110 |
|  | November | 140 | 130 |

Corn yields on Farm 2 are $90 \%$ of those on Farm 1.

Soybean yields in bushels (bu) on Farm 1 are:

|  | Planting Date |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest Date |  | May | June |
|  | September | 40 | 38 |
|  | October | 45 | 42 |

Soybean yields on Farm 2 are $110 \%$ of those on Farm 1. Plowing is done in December, March, April or May. Farm labor is share d between the farms and there are 60 hours in each month. Farm 1 has 300 acres, Farm 2 has 200 acres.

| Feed Lot Data | Characteristics of Alternative Feeding <br> Systems per Calf Fed |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Method Number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Final Animal Weight/lbs. | 900 | 870 | 900 | 920 |
| Bushels of Corn | 40 | 45 | 30 | 42 |
| Bushels of Soybeans | 10 | 5 | 20 | 6 |
| Other Costs | 20 | 25 | 15 | 30 |

## Market Data:

Purchase cost of calves \$125/head
Market price of corn (same to both farms)
Market price of soybeans (same to both farms)
\$3.00/bu
Cost of purchasing feed
\$6.75/bu

Price of fed beef applicable to final animal weight
$120 \%$ of market price
\$50/100 lbs
Transport Costs:
Farm 1 to Feedlot

Corn Soybeans
Farm 2 to Feedlot
Corn Soybeans
\$0.05/bu
\$0.12/bu
\$0.10/bu
\$0.05/bu

Assume purchased feed and crops sold to market have a zero transport cost.

## Montana Proposal

Land required/cow unit(cow and calf)
Number of calves raised in year per cow unit
Amortized Cost to buy and maintain a cow unit
Land utilized per calf raised to sale
Cost/calf to ship to Illinois
Weight of calves if raised to slaughter in Montana
Cost/calf raised to maturity in Montana
Annual cost of acquiring land in Montana

5 acres
0.7 calves/cow
\$55/year
5 acres
\$10/calf
800 lbs.
\$250
\$5/acre

Sale price of calves raised to slaughter in Montana \$.50/lb.
Maximum slaughter market potential in Montana 500 head calves
a. Formulate a model for optimum firm size including both farms, the feedlot, and the Montana option.
b. Discuss how you would use this model to determine whether to invest in Montan a land.
c. What types of formulations are implicit in this solution relati ve to those in the chapters we studied (resource allocation, etc.)?

